STUDY OF PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL SOIL PARAMETERS AS IN-SITU EMBANKMENT MATERIALS ON DOUBLE TRACK RAIL ROAD CONSTRUCTION PROJECT BETWEEN BATU TULIS – CIOMAS STATION, BOGOR. Nurul Chayati¹, Fadhila Muhammad Libasut Taqwa²

^{1),2)} Civil Engineering Departement, Faculty of Engineering and Science, Universitas Ibn Khaldun Bogor

Jl. KH. Sholeh Iskandar KM.2 Kd. Badak, Tanah Sareal, Kota Bogor

Email: nurulais12345@gmail.com, fadhila.muhammad@uika-bogor.ac.id

ABSTRACT

A utilization of in-situ soil as embankment material in civil construction must pay attention to the physical characteristics and mechanical parameters of the soil, in its function to support the load acting on it. In the construction of a double-track rail between Batu Tulis station at Bogor City, and Ciomas station, 3 (three) samples of local soil parameters were investigated as embankment material. Laboratory investigations were carried out, including tests of physical parameters (Atterberg limits, soil density, and grain size distribution), and test of mechanical properties, in the form of direct shear tests, compaction test, and laboratory CBR. From the test results on soil samples, soil can be classified as loose silty sand with low plasticity to well graded sand (SM-SW), with dry density (γ -dry), 1.26 – 1.42 gr/cm³, CBR_{0.1} value of 47.5 – 76.4%, and soaked CBR_{0.1} value of 31.67 – 34.6%. From the tests that have been carried out, it can be concluded that the in-situ soil has high potential as embankment material, by taking into account the impacts arising from the on-site excavation, and compaction process.

Keywords: embankment material, physical properties, soil classification, soil compaction, CBR.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soil is a place to build a structure and building construction, both building construction and road construction. According to Das (2004), soil can also be used as construction material. Soil is one of the materials that are readily available in the field which is very economical and easy to obtain.

Although it has economic properties and is easy to obtain, the quality of the soil must also be tested before being used as a construction material to avoid construction failure. The problem that often arises when erecting a construction on the ground is the poor physical properties of the soil. So in construction planning, the magnitude of the influence of soil parameters needs to be carefully calculated. (Fathurrozi & Rezqi, 2016; Prasetio et al., 2019)

Embankment works aim to increase the elevation of the land to make it look flat or flat to get a better surface. Embankment activities usually use soil or coarse aggregate as the main material. The problem that is often encountered in embankment work is the failure of the desired job specifications. This failure can occur in the embankment itself. One of the most important elements that must be considered in stockpile soil is the characteristics of the soil and the way of stabilization of the soil itself. The determination of soil characteristics must go through research because the soil in one location has different characteristics from the soil in another location. (Alwi et al., 2016; Nenny & Imran, 2015).

This study aims to determine the physical parameters of in-situ soil as railroad embankment material in the double-track railway construction project between Batutulis and Ciomas Stations, Lintas Bogor - Sukabumi. This soil parameter study is used to assess the potential of the soil as embankment material at the site.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

2.1 Research Sites

The research is located in a double-track railway construction project between Batutulis and Ciomas Stations, Lintas Bogor - Sukabumi. Testing locations are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2, whereas the typical cross section of double-track is shown in Figure 3. Chayati, N. & Taqwa, F. M. L. (2021) Study of Physical and Mechanical Soil Parameters as In-Situ Embankment Materials on Double Track Rail Road Construction Project between Batu Tulis – Ciomas Station, Bogor.



Figure 1 Research Location (*Source: private documentation*)



Figure 2 S-2 sampling point (Sumber: google maps) NEW TRACK NEW TRAC 5+225 DATUM LEVEL : +290 EXISTING LEVEL 0.579 99,721 288, 341 288, 759 288, 759 288, 419 288, 419 288, 419 288, 419 288, 419 288, 419 288, 419 288, 419 DISTANCE 16,999 16.844 22, 698 19 13, 095 19, 029 8 5,959 NEW LEVEL 299.710 12.524 DISTANCE

Figure 3 Double-track cross section profile Sumber: Dokumentation of PT. Nindya Karya (Persero), 2020

Soil samples came from 3 (three) points, shown in Table 1 below.

T-11-1	C 1 ¹	- C		
Table I.	Coordinate	or sa	mpiing	point

Sample Location C	Coordinate
-------------------	------------

No. Sample	Location	Coordinate
S – 01	Cipaku, Bogor	S: 6°38'14.80"
	Selatan district	E: 106°48'42.70"
S - 02	Kertamaya,	S: 6°39'49.82"
	Bogor Selatan	E: 106°49'01.58"

Seminar Nasional Ketekniksipilan, Infrastruktur dan Industri Jasa Konstruksi (KIIJK) 2021

No. Sample	Location	Coordinate
	district	
S - 03	Cipaku, Bogor	S: 6°39'49.82"
	Selatan district	E: 106°49'01.58"

Sumber: Test Result, 2021

2.2 Tests of physical parameters of soil

Tests of physical parameters of soils is intended to determine whether the in-situ soil is a suitable soil type for embankment. (Dapena & Tobarra, n.d.; Perangin-angin, 2009; Sa'pang et al., 2015).

The physical parameter tests carried out were the natural water content test (SNI 03-1965-2008), the specific gravity test (SNI 03-1964-2008), the grain-size analysis (SNI 03-3423-2008), as well as the Atterberg limit test, consists of liquid limit test (SNI 03-1967-2008), plastic limit test and Plasticity Index (SNI 03-1966-2008).

The soil classification method according to the grain fraction and its use as a road embankment material refers to the AASHTO and USCS methods. (Das, 2004)

2.3 Tests of mechanical parameters of soil

Tests of mechanical parameters of soil in the laboratory consists of direct shear test (SNI 03-2813-2008), compaction test (SNI 03-1742-2008) and Laboratory Californian Bearing Ratio (CBR) test (SNI 03-1744-2008) (Norhadi et al., 2018; Taqwa et al., 2019).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results of physical parameters test

The results of soil physical parameters test are shown in **below.**

Table 2 below.

Table 2.	The	test	results	of	soil	physical
parameters in the laboratory						

No	Type of test	No. Sampel			
190.	Type of test	S-01	S-02	S-03	
1	Natural Water Content	47,44	59,85	57,70	
	(%)				
2	Atterberg Limits (%)				
	Liquid Limits (LL)	55,93	55,53	35,46	
	Plastic Limits (PL)	45,63	48,02	N/A	
	Plasticity Index (PI)	10,30	7,51	NP	
3	Specific Gravity (SG)	2,62	2,24	1,70	
4	Sieve Analysis				
	No.#40 passed	48,95	28,07	35,45	
	No.#200 passed	3,42	3,96	4,17	

Ito.Itor (Cu)S-01S-02Uniformity coefficient (Cu)4,729,51Gradation coefficient (Co)0,791,05	No. Sampel			
Uniformity coefficient (<i>Cu</i>) 4,72 9,51 Gradation coefficient 0,79 1,05	S-03			
$ \begin{array}{c ccc} (Cu) & 4,72 & 9,51 \\ \hline Gradation & coefficient & 0,79 & 1,05 \\ \hline (Cr) & & & \end{array} $				
Gradation coefficient 0,79 1,05	8,65			
	11,32			
$(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C})$				
5 Soil Classification				
- AASHTO A 2-4 A 2-5	A 3/			
	A2-4			
- USCS SM/SC SM/SC S	SW-SM			

Sumber: Test result, 2021

From **below**.

Table 2 above, it can be shown that the soil pile in the test location is well graded sand soil types, with slightly lower plasticity silt fraction (SW-SM) or can be classified as well as A-2-4 and A-2-5 soil type. The type of soil at the location of S-3 have different classes, namely class A-3, where the type of soil classified as well graded sand soil.

3.2 Direct shear test result

The result of direct shear test shown on table below.

Table 3. Result of direct shear test

No.	Cohesion	Friction Angle
Sample	(kg/cm ²)	(°)
S-01	0,38	14,1
S - 02	1,10	49,4
S - 03	1,59	39,8

Source: Test result, 2021

From table 3 above, it can be seen that the soil cohesion value ranges from $0.38 - 1.5 \text{ kg/cm}^2$, and the inner shear angle ranges from 14.1 - 49.40. Thus, the three soil samples are classified as sandy soil.

3.3 Proctor standard compation test result

The results of soil density testing using the Standard Proctor method on 3 (three) soil samples are shown in the table below.

Table 4. Result of compaction test

Dry density	Optimum water
(gr/cm ³)	content (%)
1,36	36,6
1,42	29,9
1,26	35,8
	(gr/cm ³) 1,36 1,42 1,26

Source: Test result, 2021

From table 4 above, it can be seen that soil dry density (γ_{dry}) ranged from 1,26 – 1,42 gr/cm³.

3.4 Laboratory CBR test result

The results of Laboratory CBR test on 3 (three) soil samples are shown in the table below.

CBR un-soaked (%)		CBR soa	aked (%)
CBR0,1	CBR _{0,2}	CBR _{0,1}	CBR0,2
47,48	41,48	31,67	38,29
46,27	49,85	31,67	35,60
76,45	69,78	34,67	43,71
	CBR (n) (9) CBR0,1 47,48 46,27 76,45	CBR 0.1 CBR 0.2 47,48 41,48 46,27 49,85 76,45 69,78	CBR 0.1 CBR 0.2 CBR 0.1 47,48 41,48 31,67 46,27 49,85 31,67 76,45 69,78 34,67

Table 5.	Laboratory	CBR	test	result
Lable 5.	Laboratory	CDK	icsi	result

Source: Test result, 2021

From table 5 above, it can be seen that soil samples have sufficiently high bearing capacity, with $CBR_{0,1}$ ranged from 46,3 - 76,4%.

3.5 Consolidation test result

The results of consolidation test on 3 (three) soil samples are shown in the table below.

No.	p'c	Cc	C_s
Sample	(kg/cm ²)		
S - 01	0,48	0,086	0,029
S - 02	0,76	0,023	0,019
S - 03	2,50	0,20	0,020
Source	Test result 20)21	•

Source: Test result. 2021

From table 5 above, it can be seen that the soil has a low level of compressibility. Especially in the S-03 sample, where the soil has a much higher pre-consolidation stress when compared to other soil samples. This can occur because the S-03 soil sample was taken in a compressed state due to long term loading.

4. CONCLUSION

From the research that has been done, it can be concluded that the local soil is classified as a well-graded sandy soil type, with a low silt fraction of low plasticity (SM-SW), or it can also be classified as A-2 soil. Thus, in-situ soil has a high potential to be used as a railroad embankment material.

Recommendations that can be applied at the time of compaction is using staged construction method, especially at the initial embankment stage, to avoid changes in total stress and excess effective stress during the primary consolidation period. And it is necessary to carry out long-term monitoring of subsidence rate at the work site.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank all parties who have helped in completing this research, especially to PT. Nindya Karya (Persero) and PT. Adhi Karya (Persero) Tbk. as the main contractor for the double track railway construction project between Batu Tulis - Ciomas, Lintas Bogor - Sukabumi which has allowed research on the site, and Soil Mechanics Laboratory of Civil Engineering Departement, Universitas Ibn Khaldun Bogor for the personnel and equipment support in this research.

REFERENCES

- Alwi, A. M., Nasrul, & Nurrakhmad, L. M. (2016). Pengujian Material Tanah Gunung Desa Lasoso sebagai Alternatif Bahan Timbunan Pilihan pada Perkerasan Jalan. Konstruksi, Media l(1),1 - 8. http://ojs.uho.ac.id/index.php/MedKons/artic le/view/890
- Dapena, E., & Tobarra, F. (n.d.). Characterisation of Materials for Embankments on an Expressway According To the New PG3 *Specifications*. 1–11.
- Das, B. M. (2004). Soil mechanics. In The Engineering Handbook, Second Edition (pp. 81-1-81-87). https://doi.org/10.1201/b15620-17
- Fathurrozi, & Rezqi, F. (2016). Sifat-sifat fisis dan mekanis tanah timbunan badan jalan Kuala Kapuas. Poros Teknik, 8(1), 16-24.
- Nenny, & Imran, H. Al. (2015). Uji Pemadatan Tanah Samaya sebagai Bahan Timbunan pada Bendungan Urugan. Prosiding SNTT FODT. https://publikasiilmiah.ums.ac.id/bitstream/h andle/11617/6251/8. SNTT_2015_submission_45.pdf?sequence= 1&isAllowed=y
- Norhadi, A., Fauzi, M., & Rukmana, M. Y. I. (2018). Penentuan Nilai CBR dan Nilai Penyusutan Tanah Timbunan (Shrinkage Limit) Daerah Barito Kuala. Poros Teknik, 9(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.31961/porosteknik.v9i1.50
- Perangin-angin, G. (2009). Studi Potensi Tanah Timbunan Sebagai Material Konstruksi Tanggul Pada Ruas Jalan Negara Liwa -Ranau di Kabupaten Lampung Barat.

Seminar Nasional Ketekniksipilan, Infrastruktur dan Industri Jasa Konstruksi (KIIJK) 2021

Rekayasa, 13(2), 141–146.

- Prasetio, E., Rismalinda, & Ariyanto, A. (2019). Analisa Sifat Fisis Tanah Timbunan sebagai Bahan Material Konstruksi Jalan Desa Koto Tingi. *Jurnal Taxiway*, 1(1), 46–53.
- Sa'pang, R. O., Balamba, S., & Sumampouw, J. (2015). Pengaruh Jenis Tanah Terhadap Kestabilan Struktur Embankment Di Daerah Reklamasi (Studi Kasus: Malalayang). *Sipil Statik*, 3(9), 1689–1699. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324

.004

Taqwa, F. M. L., Chayati, N., & Alimuddin. (2019). Pemeriksaan Hasil Pelaksanaan Pemadatan Timbunan Tanah di Lokasi Pembangunan Jalan Masuk Gardu Induk PLN, Kasus Pembangunan Gardu Induk PLN Pd. Indah II Kec. Ciputat Timur, Kota Tangerang Selatan. Jurnal Komposit, 3(2), 53–57.

http://ejournal.uika-

bogor.ac.id/index.php/komposit/article/view/ 3280

- SNI 03-1742-2008 Metode Pengujian Kepadatan Ringan untuk Tanah
- SNI 03-1964-2008 Cara Uji Berat Jenis Tanah
- SNI 03-1965-2008 Cara Uji Penentuan Kadar Air untuk Tanah dan Batuan di Laboratorium
- SNI 03-1966-2008 Cara Uji Penentuan Batas Plastis dan Indeks Plastisitas Tanah
- SNI 03-1967-2008 Cara Uji Penentuan Batas Cair Tanah
- SNI 03-2813-2008 Cara Uji Kuat Geser Langsung Tanah Terkonsolidasi dan Terdrainase
- SNI 03-2812-2011 Cara Uji Konsolidasi Satu Dimensi
- SNI 03-3423-2008 Cara Uji Analisis Ukuran Butir Tanah